



Rules for peer-reviewing manuscripts in the International scientific conference „Architecture and Civil Engineering“ ArCivE

The manuscripts submitted to the editorial staff are subject to review by two members of the scientific committee. If necessary, external reviewers - experts in the respective field are also involved. Reviewers have the same responsibilities as the authors of the proposed material.

The submitted manuscripts are judged solely on their merits, the review is being anonymous and carried out in the absence of a conflict of interest between the author and the evaluator.

The reviewer is obliged to keep the information from the manuscripts secret and not to use other results and ideas that became known during the review.

The reviewer may return the author's material for correction or revision, and may refuse to publish it. If the material is not approved for publication by the reviewer without reflecting the proposed corrections and the author does not agree with them, justifying his / her response, the decision to publish is taken by the editorial board (editors).

The members of the scientific committee (editorial board) undertake (are obligated):

- Not to submit the name of the reviewer to the authors; the sole mediator between the authors and the editorial board is the responsible secretary of the editorial board.
- Not to export information about peer-reviewed articles outside the journal, especially regarding the rejected articles.
- Not to use unpublished materials and scientific materials contained in the submitted manuscripts for the purpose of their own research work without the explicit consent of the authors.

The editorial board has the right, in case of found gross manifestations of bad faith and violations of the academic ethics and the published editorial requirements of an author, which affect the prestige of the conference and the scientific community, to refuse participation in the conference.